

Key Ringneck Snake

Diadophis punctatus acricus



Photograph by Kevin Enge, FWC.

Species Overview

Status: Listed as state Threatened on Florida's Endangered and Threatened Species List

Current Protections

- 68A-27.003(a), F.A.C., No person shall take, possess, or sell any of the endangered or threatened species included in this subsection, or parts thereof or their nests or eggs except as allowed by specific federal or state permit or authorization.
- 68A-27.001(4), F.A.C. Take – to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in such conduct. The term “harm” in the definition of take means an act which actually kills or injures fish or wildlife. Such act may include significant habitat modification or degradation where it actually kills or injures wildlife by significantly impairing essential behavioral patterns, including breeding, feeding or sheltering. The term “harass” in the definition of take means an intentional or negligent act or omission which creates the likelihood of injury to wildlife by annoying it to such an extent as to significantly disrupt normal behavioral patterns which include, but are not limited to, breeding, feeding or sheltering.

Cryptic Species

Cryptic species are those that may be difficult to detect due to behavior, habitat, or physical features, even when using standardized survey techniques in occupied habitat. Interpretation of when harm or harassment may occur is difficult without a clear understanding of essential behavioral patterns of the species or habitat features that may support those behavioral patterns. The documented difficulties in detecting cryptic species and the lack of a reliable detection methodology leads to different considerations for take due to harm.

- The permitting standards for incidental take policy in Florida's Imperiled Species Management Plan identifies the Key ringneck snake as a cryptic species.
- Permitting standards for the Key ringneck snake will focus on cooperation and acquiring information, with the understanding that as information is gained, permitting standards may need to be adjusted.
- For Key ringneck snakes, information on distribution and habitat use may constitute a [scientific benefit](#). Even if surveys are conducted, detection is difficult because little is known about the life history, behavior, or biology of this species.

Biological Background

A species' biological background provides context for conservation measures and permitting guidelines. It focuses on the habitats that support essential behavioral patterns, threats to the species, and what may constitute significant disruption of essential behavioral patterns. The Key ringneck snake (*Diadophis punctatus acricus*) is a small (usually less than 12 inches, or 300 millimeters), semi-fossorial, cryptic species.

Here, we define “cryptic” as those species that may not be easily observed, tracked, or surveyed due to camouflage or behavior rather than rarity. The Key ringneck snake is semi-fossorial (adapted to dig and spend time underground), which may make it difficult to observe.

Key ringneck snakes have been documented in the Lower Keys on Key West and Big Pine, Little Torch, Middle Torch, and No Name keys (Hines and Bradley 2009, Weaver et al. 1992, Auth and Scott 1996; Florida Museum of Natural History [FLMNH] records). It has been speculated that, based upon suitable habitat, it might also occur on Ramrod, Cudjoe, Summerland, and Sugarloaf keys (Paulson 1968, Weaver et al. 1992). The Southern ringneck snake (*Diadophis punctatus punctatus*) occurs in the Upper Keys and the remainder of Florida (Florida Natural Areas Inventory 2001). These two subspecies differ based on morphology (Paulson 1968); genetic differences have not yet been investigated.

Due to the sparsity of data, little is known about the ecology of the Key ringneck snake, including reproduction and microhabitat. Currently, FWC and USFWS has ongoing work in the Florida Keys to help fill data gaps for reptile species. Following capture during surveys, non-lethal tissue samples will be collected and analyzed in effort to resolve taxonomic questions about the species. We are also working to identify the most viable sampling methodologies for this species. Additionally, in July 2015, FWC issued a request for the public to submit sightings information for imperiled reptile species in the Keys; once verified, this information will assist in increased knowledge of these species’ range. Therefore, these guidelines are subject to change as data gaps are filled.

Habitat features that support essential behavioral patterns

The Key ringneck snake inhabits pine rockland habitat and tropical hardwood hammocks (Lazell 1989, Weaver et al. 1992). It seems to be restricted to areas near permanent freshwater sources, often with small holes in the limestone (Lazell 1989).

Little is known about the Key ringneck snake’s microhabitat use, reproductive biology, and diet so we look to studies from other ringneck snakes (*Diadophis* spp.) for guidance on microhabitat requirements for breeding,



Pine rockland habitat. FWC Photograph.

feeding, and sheltering. For breeding and sheltering microhabitat, *Diadophis* spp. require moist microhabitats to balance evaporative water loss from the body (Myers 1965, Clark 1967). They lay 1-10 eggs per clutch (Ernst and Ernst 2003), with 4 being the average clutch size in northern Florida (Myers 1965). Ringneck snakes (*Diadophis* spp.) spend most of their time in sheltering microhabitat underground or hidden under logs, rocks, leaf litter, or debris. However, they are occasionally found crawling in the open or crossing roads, often at night. Feeding microhabitats are

areas that support their prey base, which includes but is not limited to small amphibians, lizards, insects, slugs, and earthworms.

Threats

Activities that would further fragment and/or degrade hardwood hammock or pine rockland, or freshwater ponds within this habitat, are a source of habitat alteration that can significantly impair the essential behaviors (breeding, feeding, and sheltering) of the Key ringneck snake. There are very little data on population trends for the Key ringneck snake. Research is needed to definitively establish population estimates. However, population decline is assumed as a result of significant loss and degradation of habitat. Clearing of pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammock has eliminated Key ringneck snakes from some areas, particularly if snakes are restricted to habitats near sources of freshwater (Enge et al. 2003). Key ringneck snakes likely feed on slugs and earthworms, so negative impacts to their prey base (e.g., chemical control) could have negative cascading effects.

Roads present a risk to Key ringneck snakes because of vehicle-caused mortality and habitat fragmentation among roadways. This type of fragmentation can make snakes more vulnerable to extinction through the reduction of genetic diversity (Jochimsen et al. 2004).

The Key ringneck snake is also threatened by elements that may negatively impact many other Keys species as well, including the spread of invasive plants and animals. Invasive plants and animals could have both direct and indirect impacts on the Key ringneck snake. Therefore, mitigation options that maintain freshwater wetlands may be of higher value, invasive predator control could provide a conservation benefit as part of the mitigation process.

Potential to Significantly Impair Essential Behavioral Patterns

Little is known about the Key ringneck snake breeding and feeding behaviors. However, its habitat needs are linked to intact pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammocks, and specifically intact top soil and the presence of woody debris. Activities that cause soil compaction or tilling of the upper layers of soil would be harmful to the Key ringneck snake and its prey base. Those activities could include, but are not limited to, development, agricultural practices, and other forms of land conversion.

Clearing or fragmentation of pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammocks has already eliminated Key ringneck snakes from some areas, particularly when snakes are restricted to habitats near sources of fresh water (Enge et al. 2003). However, populations may persist in areas where tropical hardwood hammock has been cleared and left vacant to undergo ecological succession (Lazell 1989).



Tropical Hardwood hammock habitat. FWC Photograph.

Distribution and Survey Methodology



The map above represents the principle geographic range of the Key ringneck snake. This map is for informational purposes only and is not for regulatory purposes.

County list: Monroe

Recommended Survey Methodology

Surveys can be used to determine if Key ringneck snakes are present in an area. Because this is a cryptic species, surveys conducted in accordance with the methodology described below may not detect this species. Surveys are not required. Since the breeding season is not known, the best survey season is unknown. However, reptiles are generally most active during the rainy season (May through October), which would coincide with increased likelihood of detection. Additionally, the Key ringneck snake is likely least active in the winter (Florida Natural Areas Inventory 2001). Surveys can be completed by methodically turning cover objects (e.g., rocks, logs, manmade objects), gently raking through leaf litter, and by using coverboards. These snakes are usually found beneath cover objects like logs, piles of debris, etc. There will be an online registration for use of coverboards, including minimum standards.

- Surveys are not required, but are recommended during project planning.
- Surveys should coincide with the rainy season, when most reptiles have heightened activity. The

rainy season in the Florida Keys occurs May through October.

- Surveys are not recommended on sites with strictly impermeable surfaces, gravel, or planted sod with no leaf litter present.

The objective of the surveys is to detect the Key ringneck snake; thus, if observers detect this snake on the first survey date, there is no need to continue surveying. If Key ringneck snakes are found, the applicant should coordinate with FWC.

To maximize the chance of finding a Key ringneck snake, the following survey protocols can be used.

- Opportunistic surveys, where natural debris is turned to look for snakes should be conducted minimally every 2-3 days for 1-5 months, which should be focused from May through October. Opportunistic surveys do not require a permit if the observer is not touching the animal.
- Coverboard checks should be conducted minimally every 2 weeks for 3-5 months, which should be focused from May through October. The standard methodology for this type of coverboard survey is as follows:
 - Coverboard surveys will require online registration for a scientific collecting permit since the observer could injure a state-Threatened species when placing or replacing (after surveys) coverboards. Additionally, these coverboards will attract non-target species.
 - Coverboards should be 2 x 2 feet in size, made of untreated plywood; minimally, use 8 coverboards per site, set at 5 meter intervals.
 - To develop suitable microhabitats under artificial refugia, coverboards should be seasoned for at least 30 days prior to sampling (Wilson and Gibbons 2009). Seasoning allows conditions to develop, such as rotting leaf litter, that mimic natural occurrences (e.g., fallen logs) and therefore coverboards should be placed on site in advance of a survey to naturally weather..



Coverboard placement in a tropical hardwood hammock. Photo by Jonathan Mays.

Recommended Conservation Practices

Recommendations are general measures that could benefit the species but are not required. No FWC permit is required to conduct these activities.

- Reduce soil compaction, particularly during the rainy season when Key ringneck snakes are likely most active.
- Protect habitat adjacent to freshwater sources.
- Leave leaf litter and woody debris in place as microhabitat.
- Avoid placement of impermeable surfaces, such as roads or parking lots in and adjacent to intact pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammocks.
- Refrain from clearing or fragmenting key habitats (intact pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammocks).
- Design projects to minimize loss of tropical hardwood hammock and pine rockland.
 - Consider provisions in the Monroe County Comprehensive Plan regarding protection of tropical hardwood hammocks and other native habitats (Monroe County 2015a).
 - Adhere to Land Planning Regulations for the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern – Monroe County (Chapter 28-20, F.A.C.) and Sections 118-7, 118-10(1), and 118-10(4) of the Monroe County Land Development Code regarding designing development away from natural areas and sensitive habitats, restrictions to developing tropical hardwood hammock, and maintenance of native trees (State of Florida 2014, Monroe County 2015b).
- Maintain or restore hydrology in pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammocks suitable for Key ringneck snakes.
- Develop a prescribed fire regime that minimizes woody encroachment into wetlands and uplands.
- Remove and control nonnative exotic species that may indirectly impact the Key ringneck snakes (e.g., species that cause vegetation restructuring) or directly predate upon this snake.
- To prevent the establishment and spread of invasive and exotic plants, avoid or minimize disturbance of the soil in areas where Key ringneck snakes are believed to be.
- Avoid or minimize fertilizer, herbicide, and pesticide runoff into wetlands.

Measures to Avoid Take

Avoidance Measures that Eliminate the Need for FWC Take Permitting

This section describes all measures that would avoid the need for an applicant to apply for an FWC take permit.

- Avoid impacts to pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammock habitats used by Key ringneck snakes. Specifically, avoid topsoil removal and compaction.

Examples of Activities Not Expected to Cause Take

This list is not an exhaustive list of exempt actions. Please contact the FWC if you are concerned that you could potentially cause take.

- Activities that occur on impacted land not consistent with Key ringneck snake habitat.
- Routine maintenance of vegetation in existing linear utility and highway right-of-way's.
- Water management actions for human health and safety, such as flood control.
- Mosquito control measures. The FWC recommends following guidelines described by the Florida Keys Mosquito Control District (2016) which limit direct and indirect effects on non-target vertebrates.

Florida Forestry Wildlife BMP's and Florida Agricultural Wildlife BMP's

- Due to its geographic distribution, this species is not included in the Florida Forestry Wildlife BMP's or Florida Agricultural Wildlife BMP's program, and thus these practices do not apply.

Other authorizations for Take

- As described in Rule 68A-27.007(2)(c), F.A.C., land management activities (e.g., wetland restoration, prescribed fire, mechanical removal of invasive species; and herbicide application) that benefit wild-life and are not inconsistent with FWC Management Plans are authorized and do not require a permit authorizing incidental take.

Coordination with Other State and Federal Agencies

The FWC participates in other state and federal regulatory programs as a review agency. During review, FWC identifies and recommends measures to address fish and wildlife resources to be incorporated into other agencies' regulatory processes. For example, the FWC commented on the Big Pine Key and No Name Key Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP), which notes the importance of tropical hardwood hammock for federally-listed species and restricts the loss native habitat for species covered under the plan. The HCP assists in determining the location of potential new development and in prioritizing mitigation areas on these keys. FWC coordinated with local jurisdictions on the Monroe County Comprehensive Plan (Monroe County 2015a), Chapter 118 of the Land Development Code, and the Land Planning Regulations for the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern – Monroe County (Chapter 28-20, F.A.C.; State of Florida 2014). Chapter 380 of the Florida Statutes addresses FWC's interactions with counties.

The FWC provides recommendations for addressing potential impacts to state listed species in permits issued by other agencies. If permits issued by other agencies adequately address all of the requirements for issuing a Species of Special Concern or state-Threatened species take permit, the FWC will consider these regulatory processes to fulfill the requirements of Chapter 68A-27, F.A.C., with no additional application process. This may be accomplished by issuing a concurrent take permit from the FWC, by a memorandum of understanding with the cooperating agency, or by a programmatic permit issued to another agency. These permits would be issued based on the understanding that implementation of project commitments will satisfy the requirements of Rule 68A-27.005 and Rule 68A-27.007, F.A.C.

Review of Land and Water Conversion projects with State-Listed Species Conditions for Avoidance, Minimization and Mitigation of Take

- FWC staff, in coordination with other state agencies, provide comments to federal agencies (e.g., the Army Corps of Engineers) on federal actions, such as projects initiated by a federal agency or permits being approved by a federal agency.
- FWC staff works with landowners, local jurisdictions, and state agencies such as the Department of Economic Opportunity on large-scale land use decisions, including long-term planning projects like sector plans, projects in Areas of Critical State Concern, and large-scale comprehensive plan amendments.
- FWC staff coordinates with state agencies such as the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the five Water Management Districts on the Environmental Resource Permitting (ERP) program, which regulates activities such as dredging and filling in wetlands, flood protection, stormwater management, site grading, building dams and reservoirs, waste facilities, power plant development, power and natural gas transmission projects, oil and natural gas drilling projects, port facility expansion projects, some navigational dredging projects, some docking facilities, and single-family developments such as for homes, boat ramps, and artificial reefs.

FWC Permitting: Incidental Take

As defined in Rule 68A-27.001, F.A.C., incidental take is take that is incidental to, and not the purpose of, carrying out an otherwise lawful activity. Activities that result in impacts to Key ringneck snakes can require an Incidental Take Permit from the FWC (see [above](#) for actions that do not require a permit). Permits may be issued when there is a scientific or conservation benefit to the species and only upon showing by the applicant that the permitted activity will not have a negative impact on the survival potential of the species. Scientific benefit, conservation benefit, and negative impacts are evaluated by considering the factors listed in Rule 68A-27.007(2)(b), F.A.C. These conditions are usually accomplished through a combination of avoiding take when practicable, minimizing take that will occur, and mitigating for the permitted take. This section describes the minimization measures and mitigation options available as part of the Incidental Take Permit process for take of Key ringneck snakes. This list is not an exhaustive list of options.

Minimization Measure Options

The options below are intended to address the evaluation factors required for consideration when issuing an incidental take permit. These options can lessen the impact of activities, and ultimately may reduce what is needed to achieve a conservation or scientific benefit (see below).

Seasonal, Temporal, and Buffer Measures

- Seasonality of Key ringneck snakes is not known, although activity levels likely increase during the rainy season (May through October). However, we are uncertain about how increased snake activity levels and likelihood of incidental take may be related.

Design Modification

- Design projects to leave leaf litter and coarse woody debris intact.
- Minimize area of soil compaction and tilling to the upper soil layers.
- Minimize amount of suitable habitat (i.e., pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammock) converted to other land uses.
- Design roads away from suitable habitats to minimize road mortality.
- Design projects to minimize changes in timing, quantity, or quality of water that could degrade hydrologic features associated with optimal habitat.
- Design projects to avoid or minimize fertilizer, herbicide, and pesticide runoff into wetlands.
- Avoid placement of impermeable surfaces, such as roads or parking lots in and adjacent to intact pine rockland and tropical hardwood hammocks.
- Incorporate culverts into new road designs that will allow for maintenance and/or restoration of natural hydrology.

Method Modification

- When activities must occur within habitat occupied by the Key ringneck snake, refer to the [Seasonal, Temporal, and Buffer Measures](#) above to minimize take.
- Provide information to project personnel on identifying and avoiding directly crushing the Key ringneck snake and other cryptic species found in similar habitats.

Mitigation Options

Mitigation is scalable depending on the impact, with mitigation options for significant impairment or disruption of essential behavioral patterns constituting take. The Key ringneck snake is a cryptic species. Therefore, the permittee would satisfy mitigation under scientific benefit by providing any snake sighting

information for this species. In most cases, requirements outlined by the county will satisfy the applicant's responsibilities under Rule 68A-27, F.A.C., and associated enforcement policies. However, under certain circumstances, the FWC may require additional measures to achieve scientific or conservation benefit specific for take of Key ringneck snakes. Potential options for mitigation are described below.

Scientific Benefit

This section describes research and monitoring activities that provide scientific benefit, per Rule 68A-27.007, F.A.C. Conducting or funding these activities can be the sole form of mitigation for a project. Since this species is cryptic and there is limited information available, the options provided below are subject to change as new information becomes available. For projects greater than 12 acres in scope, the first three options are preferred. For projects with shorter duration and a footprint of smaller than 12 acres, the last two bullets are an option.

- A study of habitat use preferences and seasonality patterns, including notes on natural history observations when applicable (e.g., sex, clutch size, prey items, etc.).
- Mitigation can be applied to support research projects consistent with actions in the [Species Action Plan](#) when methodologies are approved by FWC.
- Monitoring options can include multi-year monitoring or funding for multi-year monitoring that contributes to a portion of a statewide survey (SAP Action 7).
- Provide deceased Key ringneck snake specimens with latitude and longitude data to FWC for use in taxonomic study and eventual museum deposition (SAP Action 8).
- Provide sighting information and accompanying pictures of Key ringneck snakes with latitude and longitude data to FWC (SAP Action 8).

Habitat

- No habitat option has been identified at this time.

Funding

- No funding option has been identified at this time. However, funding options as part of mitigation will be considered on a case by case basis.

Information

- The information option for this cryptic species may rise to the level of scientific benefit for Key ringneck snakes.

Programmatic Options

- No programmatic option available.

Multispecies Options

- Protections provided for this snake will also benefit the Florida brown snake (also state-Threatened), peninsula ribbon snake, and red rat snake. When mitigation is provided for species like the white-crowned pigeon (*Patagioenas leucocephala*) in tropical hardwood hammock, that mitigation may provide a conservation benefit for the Key ringneck snake and Florida brown snake if it occurs within the range of those species.

FWC Permitting: Intentional Take

Intentional take is not incidental to otherwise lawful activities. Per Rule 68A-27, F.A.C., intentional take is prohibited and requires a permit. For state-Threatened species, intentional take permits may only be considered for scientific or conservation purposes (defined as activities that further the conservation or survival of the species taken). Permits are issued for state-Threatened species following guidance in Rule 68A-27.007(2)(a), F.A.C.

Intentional take for human safety

- There are no circumstances for which Key ringneck snakes may be taken for human safety.

Aversive Conditioning

- Not applicable for the Key ringneck snake.

Permits Issued for Harassment

- Not applicable for the Key ringneck snake.

Scientific Collecting and Conservation Permits

Scientific collecting permits may be issued for the Key ringneck snake using guidance found in Rule 68A-27.007(2)(a), F.A.C. Activities requiring a permit include any research that involves capturing, handling, or marking wildlife; conducting biological sampling; or other research that may cause take. Please note that these activities include any research that involves capturing, handling, or marking snakes; conducting biological sampling; or other research that may cause take.

Considerations for Issuing a Scientific Collecting Permit

- 1) Is the purpose adequate to justify removing the species (if the project requires this)?
 - Permits will be issued if the identified project is consistent with the goal of the Species Action Plan (i.e., improvement in status that leads to removal from Florida's Endangered and Threatened Species List), or addresses an identified data gap important for the conservation of the species.
- 2) Is there be a direct or indirect effect of issuing the permit on the wild population?
- 3) Will the permit conflict with program intended to enhance survival of species?
- 4) Will purpose of permit reduce likelihood of extinction?
 - Projects consistent with the goal of the Species Action Plan or that fill identified data gaps in species life history or management may reduce the likelihood of extinction. Applications should clearly explain how the proposed research will provide a scientific or conservation purpose for the species.
- 5) Have the opinions or views of other scientists or other persons or organizations having expertise concerning the species been sought?
- 6) Is applicant expertise sufficient?
 - Applicants must have prior documented experience with this or similar species; applicants should have met all conditions of previously issued permits; and applicants should have a letter of reference that supports their ability to handle the species.

Relevant to all Scientific Collecting for Key Ringneck Snakes

- Walking, visual encounter, and opportunistic surveys that do not involve touching the animals or altering the microhabitat do not require a permit.

- A permit will be issued by completing an online registry, and will be required prior to use of coverboards for collection of presence/absence data.
- Any activity that requires trapping or handling a Key ringneck snake requires a permit. For example, these activities include taking a scale or tail clip of the snake for assistance in taxonomic analyses.
- Applications must include a proposal that clearly states the objectives and scope of work of the project, including a justification of how the project will result in a scientific or conservation purpose to the species. The proposal also must include a thorough description of the project's methods, time frame, and final disposition of all individuals. Permit amendment and renewal applications must be "stand alone" (i.e., include all relevant information on objectives and methods).
- Permits may be issued to display a specimen if the specimen was obtained via a rehabilitation facility or was encountered dead.
- Permits may be issued for captive possession (removal from the wild) if the individual is deemed non-releasable.
- Capturing and handling protocols, and a justification of methods, must be included in the permit application and should identify measures to lessen stress for captured snakes.
- Methodologies for any collection of tissues such as blood and scale clips should be clearly spelled out, including measures taken to reduce stress and injury to the snakes.
- Disposition involving captive possession for any period of time must include a full explanation of whether the facility has the appropriate resources for accomplishing the project objectives and for maintaining the animals in a safe and humane manner.
- Any mortality should be reported immediately to the FWC at the contact information below. The FWC will provide guidance on proper disposition of specimens.
- Geographical or visual data gathered must be provided to FWC in the specified format.
- A final report should be provided to the FWC in the format specified in the permit conditions.

Additional information

Information on Economic Assessment of this guideline can be found at <http://myfwc.com/wildlifehabitats/imperiled/management-plans/>

Contact

For more species specific information or related permitting questions, contact the FWC at (850) 921-5990 or WildlifePermits@myfwc.com. For regional information, visit <http://myfwc.com/contact/>.

Literature Cited

- Auth, D. L., and C. Scott. 1996. Geographic distribution: *Diadophis punctatus acricus* (Key ringneck snake). Herpetological Review 27:33.
- Clark, D. R., Jr. 1967. Experiments into selection of soil type, soil moisture level, and temperature by five species of snakes. Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science 70:490–496.
- Enge, K. M., B. A. Millsap, T. J. Doonan, J. A. Gore, N. J. Douglass, and G. L. Sprandel. 2003. Conservation plans for biotic regions in Florida containing multiple rare or declining wildlife taxa. Bureau of Wildlife Diversity Conservation Final Report, Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Tallahassee.
- Ernst, C. H., and E. M. Ernst. 2003. Snakes of the United States and Canada. Smithsonian Books, Washington, D.C.
- Florida Keys Mosquito Control District. 2016. Chemical Labels and MSDS. <http://keysmosquito.org/labels/>. Accessed 3 November 2016.
- Florida Natural Areas Inventory. 2001. Field guide to the rare animals of Florida. Eds. D. Hipes, D.R. Jackson, K. NeSmith, D. Printiss, and K. Brandt.
- Hines, K. N., and K. A. Bradley. 2009. Assessment of the status and distribution of the endemic Rim Rock crowned snake (*Tantilla oolitica*) in Miami-Dade and Monroe counties, Florida. Final Report Grant Agreement No. 401817G006. The Institute for Regional Conservation, Miami, Florida, USA. 22pp.
- Jochimsen, D. M., C. R. Peterson, K. M. Andrews, and J. W. Gibbons. 2004. A literature review of the effects of roads on amphibians and reptiles and the measures used to minimize those effects. Report to the Idaho Fish and Game Department and the U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service.
- Lazell, J. D., Jr. 1989. Wildlife of the Florida Keys: a natural history. Island Press, Covelo, California.
- Monroe County. 2016a. Monroe County comprehensive plan. <http://keyscmpplan.com/>. Accessed 7 July 2016.
- Monroe County. 2016b. Land development code documents. <http://keyscmpplan.com/facts-information-resources/land-development-code-documents/>. Accessed 7 July 2016.
- Myers, C. W. 1965. Biology of the ringneck snake, *Diadophis punctatus*, in Florida. Bulletin of the Florida State Museum, Biological Sciences 10:43–90.
- Paulson, D. R. 1968. Variation in some snakes from the Florida Keys. Quarterly Journal of the Florida Academy of Sciences 29:295–308.
- State of Florida. 2014. Chapter 28-20, F.A.C, land planning regulations for the Florida Keys area of critical state concern – Monroe County. Florida Administrative Code.
- Weaver, W. G., S. P. Christman, and P. E. Moler. 1992. Big Pine Key ringneck snake, *Diadophis punctatus acricus* Paulson. Pages 146–149 in P. E. Moler, editor. Rare and endangered biota of Florida. Volume III. Amphibians and reptiles. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.
- Willson, J. D., and J. W. Gibbons. 2009. [Drift fences, coverboards, and other traps](#). In: Amphibian Ecology and Conservation: A Handbook of Techniques, C. K. Dodd, Jr., (Ed.), pp. 229-245. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.